



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,926	04/12/2001	Yushi Niwa	072982/0219	5238
22428	7590 11/17/2006		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			SMITH, TRACI L	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3629	<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/832,926	NIWA, YUSHI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Traci L. Smith	3629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	ugust 2006.			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.			
3)	· <del>_</del>				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8,10-18,20-27 and 29-41 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8, 10-18, 20-27 and 29-41 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable acceedable and acceedable acceedable acceedable and acceedable acceedabl	epted or b)  objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  polication from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachmen	ut(s)				
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notic 3) D Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 09/832,926

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to papers filled on August 16, 2006.

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-10, 20-27 and 29-38 have been amended.

Claims 39-41 have been added.

Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-27 and 29-41 are pending.

Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-27 and 29-41 are rejected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 8, 10-17, 21, 27, 29-36 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. As to claims 8, 10-17, 21, 27, 29-36 and 39-41 the claims are directed towards a calculation of a tolerance. The calculation uses the claims factors of claims 39-41 in order to determine the tolerance. However, applicant fails to disclose of one of ordinary skill in the art would determine what the factor is for a specified day. Applicant discloses a factor table, however fails to teach how one knows what factor a day is given. What is the basis for determine a dates factor, is it a calculation? If it is historical data how does one know how to use this historical data, what importance does different

Art Unit: 3629

historical data have in reference to other data for a particular day? Applicant fails to disclose elements which are instrumental to determining the factor for each given date, therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would not be able to make and/or use on without undue experimentation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 8, 10-17, 21, 27, 29-36 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the invention fails to set forth a concrete, tangible result.
- 6. As to claims 8, 10-17, 21, 27, 29-36 and 39-41 applicant is claiming "factors" used in a tolerance calculation. However, these calculations fail to create a concrete tangible result that is repeatable due to the fact that the factors used in the calculations are subjective. The factors appear to be a random number generated by a user of the system. The factors are randomly assigned based on prediction using historical data. However, these factors may not be determined to be the same depending on who id setting the factors. There are no specific steps step forth identifying criteria that is to be used and/or followed when making a factor determination.
- 7. Therefore the subjective component(factors) of the invention are not of a reproducible result.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,926

Art Unit: 3629

## Page 4

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-27 and 29-41- have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Casler whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLC ⊿\`

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600